UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD063365 **NEW LIMITATION CHANGE** TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited **FROM** Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational use; 30 Sep 1956. Other requests shall be referred to Office of Naval Research, Arlington VA 22217-0000. **AUTHORITY** ONR ltr, 26 Oct 1977

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200,20 AND NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

Reproduced by DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, 0 HIO

Because of our limited supply, you are requested to RETURN THIS COPY WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PURPOSE so that it may be made available to other requesters.

Your cooperation will be appreciated.

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DEAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN CONNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U.S. GOVERNMENT THEREBY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATEOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FURNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER DATA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

UNCLASSIFIED

FC

63365

Need Analysis Research Project #NR 172-363 Contract #N7 onr 463 D. C. McClelland, Chief Investigator

Paper presented at the University of Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, 1955

Some social consequences of achievement motivation

David C. McClelland

Wesleyan University

Fsychology today is almost exclusively concerned with process rather

than with content, with how a man adjusts rather than with what he thinks to does. Elsewhers (7) I have argued for a revival of interest in content problems, but the argument, stated abstractly, is bound to seem a little compty and vague. I want here to "put up or skut up", to show how I myself developed a renewed interest in "content" psychology by following through on some of the social implications of our research on the achievement motive. In the field of motivation the content vs. process distinction turns on such questions as these: What behavior can be predicted or accounted for from a knowledge of this particular motive as opposed to any other motive? What pseudiar conditions account for the acquisition of this motive as opposed to other motives? What are the social consequences if a given group of people or culture contains a larger than normal number of individuals with strong motivation of a certain type? What about species differences is motivation? What motives do men have that rats do not?

Influenced by Hull and other functionalists, many of us for a long time tended to think of motives or drives as if they were functionally interchangeable, like electromotive forces in an equation in physics. From such a point of view it is as ridiculous to ask the question what kind of motive is involved as it is to ask what kind of electromotive force is involved.

All motives are functionally equivalent and vary only in intensity. A motive is a motive is a motive is a motive, as Gertrude Stein might say.

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

It really doesn't matter whether you are working with light-aversion as a drive, or hunger, or thirst, or pain, since they are all functionally equivalent and it is merely a matter of convenience which one you choose to work with. It is also merely a matter of convenience which animal species you choose to work with since again, by assumption, a motive is a motive is a monitor and it is therefore as useful theoretically to study the hungor drive by the white rat as it is to study the achievement, motive in the human being. Today as we have begun to study motivation in its own right, and not just as a convenient construct to explain learning, such a roint of view seems be infully inadequate. For one thing, as animal psychologists like Harlow (5) and Nissen (11) have been pointing out, there are major species differences in motivation which must be taken into account if we are to understand animal behavior adequately. For another, and this is the point I intend to elaborate here, recent studies of human motivation have demonstrated again and again that knowledge about one particular kind of motivation will enable us to predict varieties of behavior that we could not predict from knowledge of other motives. For example, knowledge of a Achievement scores will enable us to predict how well a group of people wall do in a Laboratory task (8), but knowledge of n Affiliation scores will not. Knowledge of a Affiliation scores will enable us to predict something about popularity (16) whereas knowledge of n Achievement scores will not. And so on. It is becoming increasingly clear that we must pay attention to the type of motive we are measuring, its particular origins, and its particular consequences, for human behavior and society.

As a case in point, let us try to do this for the achievement motive, the human motive about which we know the most at the present time. There is no need to review the methods we have used for deriving the \underline{n} Achieve—

ment score or the data showing its connections with various types of behavior, since that has been done elsewhere (8) and particularly well by Atkinson at this Symposium last year (1). It will have to suffice here to say that we have developed what appears to be a promisting method of measuring the achievement motive by identifying and counting the frequency with which a certain type of imagery appears in the thoughts of a person when he writes a brief story under time pressure. The type of imagery involved, which includes any references to "competition with a scandard of excellence", can be adentified objectively and reliably and differs in kind from other types of imagery which can be used to identify other motives such as the need for Affiliation, the need for Power, and the like. There are those who argue that what we are identifying in this way are not really motives at all, but something else, perhaps habits (4). I don't want to seem too lighthearted about psychological theory, but I should hate to see much energy expended in debuting the point. If someone can plan and execute better research by calling these measures habits, so much the better. If, furthermore, it should turn out that all the interesting findings we have turned ur are the result of some theoretical "error" in our thinking, I cannot admit to much regret. The fact of the matter is that we know too little about either motives or habits to get into a very useful discussion as to which is which. The important thing is that we accumulate data as rapidly and systematically as we can. Then I believe these theoretical issues will have a way of boiling themselves down to a meaningful level at which they can be settled.

But to return to our main story: we have continued to treat n Achieve... ment as a motive and after hearing where this thinking has led us, you must decide for yourselves whether you want to conceive of it in the same way or

in some different way. I want to draw attention now to Winterbottom's very important study (8, 24) on the origins of n Achievement as we measure it. She found, as many of you will remember, what mothers who said they expected their sons to do well on their own at an early age tended to have sons with higher n Achievement scores. That is, mothers who expected their sens to be self-reliant early in life...to make their own fromths, to find their own way around their part of town, to do well in competitive sports and the like...tended to have sons with strong achievement motives. Furthermore, this training for self-reliance or independence (23) did not include "care-taking" items such as putting eneself to bed, cutting one's own food, earning one's own spending money, etc., a fact which suggested that what was involved here was not rejection by the mother but rather a positive interest in the child's independence, growth, and development. Winterbottom established here a link between a socialization practice, namely independence training, and a motive, namely the desire to do well.

considered in a social and historical context, this linkage suggested an interesting parallel with Weber's classic description of the nature and characterological consequences of the Protestent Reformation (22). In the first place, he stresses as others have, that the essence of the Protestant revolt against the Catholic church was a shift from a reliance on an institution to a great reliance on the self, so far as salvation was concerned. The individual Protestant Lutheran or Calvinist was less dependent on the church as an institution either for its priests or its sacraments or its official dogma. Instead there was to be a "priesthood of all believers", in Luther's words. The Protestant could read and interpret his Bible and find his own way to God without having to rely on the authority of the Church or

its official assistance. As Weber describes it, we have here what seems to be an example of a revolution in ideas which should increase the need for independence training. Certainly Protestant parents, if they were to prepare their children adequately for increased self-reliance so far as religious matters were concerned, would tend to stress increasingly often and early the necessity for the child's not depending on adult assistance but seeking his own "salvation". Ir the second place, Weber's description of the kind of personality type which the Protestant Reformation produced is startingly similar to the picture we would draw of a person with high achievement motivation. He notes that Protestant working girls seemed to work harder and longer, that they saved their money for long-range goals, that Protestant entrepreneurs seemed to come to the top more often in the business world daspite the initial advantages of wealth many Catholic families had, and so forth. In particular, he points out that the early Calvinish business man was prevented by his religious views from enjoying the results of his laborate He could not spend money on himself because of scruples about self-indulgence and display, and so, more often than not, he reinvested his profits in his business, which was one reason he prospered. What then drove him to such prodigious feats of business organization and development? Weber reels that such a man "gets nothing out of his wealth for himself, except the irrational sense of having done his job well" (22, p. 71). This is exactly how we define the achievement motive. So again, the parallel seems clear, although there is not space to give the argument in full here. Is it possible that the Protestant Reformation involves a repetition at a social and historical level of the linkage that Winterbottom found between independence training and n Achievement among some mothers and their sons in a smell town in Nichigan in 1950?

To make such an assumption involves a breathcaking leap of hypothesizing so far as the average psychologist is concerned, who is much more at home with a sample of 30 mothers and 30 sons than he is with major social movements. But the hypothesis seems too fascinating to dismiss without some further a udy. It can be diagrammed rather simply as in Table 1. In terms of this diagram Weber was chiefly concerned with the linkage between A and (Insert Table 1 here or near here.)

D, with the way in which Protestantism led to a change in the opinit of capitalism in the direction of a speeded-up, high-pressure, competative basiness occommy. But the marmer in which he describes this relations in strongly suggests that the linkage by which these two events are connected involves steps B and C, namely a change in family socialization practices which in turn increased the number of individuals with high achievement notivation. Thus a full statement of the hypothesis would be that Protestantism problems an increased stress on independence training which produced higher achievement motivation which produced more vigorous entreprendualal activity and rapid economic development. Such a simple statement of the hypothesis obsource many problems, some of which we have only began to think about. To establish all the links in the chain obviously requires an enormous imount of research, much of which has not been completed. What I have to report today are only come preliminary findings which, however, serve to confirm the hypothesis at several crucial points and, at the very least, dignify it to the point of making it worth very serious investigation.

Let us consider first Weber's general argument about the connection between Protestantism and economic development. Although there has been such discussion among historians, economists, and sociologists of this thesis since it first appeared about 50 years ago, most of it pro though some of it

Hypothetical series of events relating self-reliance values with economic and technological developments.

Protestantism Economic and (self-reliance values) technological development

B

Independence in Achievement training by parents

In thildren

con (see 19), I could find no simple statistical test of the presumed association such as we would be apt to apply in psychology. Instead the literature seems to consist largely of citing instances which confirm the thesis, drawn chiefly from England, the Scandinavian countries and Holland, or instances which apparently disprove it such as Belgium or pre-Protestent Italy. Having had much experience in my youth with individual rate who obeyed none of Hull's laws, I wanted to get beyond the battle of instance and counterinstance to see what the general trend locked like. Table 2 shows the results of one such effort. What I tried to do was to get as large a group of Catholic and Protestant countries as I could which were matched roughly for climate and resources. Then I took the most easily obtainable index of

(Insert Table 2 here or near here.)

economic or technological development, namely kilowatt hours of electricity consumed as of a given year in a given country, and checked it against the Protestant-Catholic classification of the country, with the result shown in Table 2. A simple ranks correlation, a biserial tau, shows that the Protestant character of a country is significantly associated with higher levels of consumption of electrical energy. This may be a crude test of the hypothesis, but the relationship is large and seems not likely to disappear under refinements of techniques for measuring economic development or for equating the natural resources of the two groups of countries. At least it is comforting to a psychologist to have this much statistical backing for a hypothesis before expending a great deal of further energy in trying to study its further implications.

The next step involves tying in our own research findings on the origins of achievement motivation (stages B and C in Table 1). Specifically, Average per capita consumption of electric gover in Protestant and Catholic countries beyond the Tropics of Cancer and Capricorn.

(For the year 1950, in Kilowatt-hours, from Woytinski, 25)

Protestant.			Catholic			
Horway Canada	5,310 4,120					
Sireden	2,530	*				
U.S.A.	2,560					
Switzerland	2,230					
New Zealand	1,600					
Austral ia	1,160 س	ā				
United Kingdom	1,115					
Finland	1,,000		:			
	1	•	Belgium	986		
,	2 + 7 %		Austria	300°		
Union of -	y A					
South Africa	890	•				
•			France	790		
250			Czechoslovakia	730		
Holland	725					
e e		•	Italy	535		
Dermark	500					
			Poland	375		
			Hungary	304		
Meari	<u>1,983</u>		Ireland	300		
			Chile	260		
			Argentina	255		
			3pain	225		
			Uruguay	155		
:			Fortugal	110		
,			Meen	457		

Biserial tau ** 45 Pr. 005

we would predict that there should be a connection between A and B in Table 1, that Protestants should favor earlier independence training them Catholics de. The major findings on this point are reproduced in Table 3 from a study by McClelland, Rindlisbacher and dcCharms (10). The figures in the (Insert Table 3 here or near home.)

Table are based on responses to the 13 items in the original Winterbotton independence training questionnaire which she found to be associated with a Achievement. A mean age was computed for each parent at which he expected his child to have mastered the items in question. Then averages of these means were computed and cross-classified by sex of parent, by education of parent, and by religious grouping. All three primary sources of variation are significant. Religion makes a significant difference, the Protestants and Jews favoring early independence and the Irish and Italian-Catholics favoring later independence for their children. The first link of our reasearch with its social context has been established.

Frotestants and the Italian—Catholics and differ significantly from both.

That is, although the Irish have the same religion as the Italians, they differ from them in the direction of favoring earlier independence like their singlish—speaking parent culture. And socio—economic status makes a differ—ence, at least to the extent that it is reflected here in the educational.

Levels of the parents. As one goes up the socio—economic scale (or has more education), independence training is expected. An obvious implication of this shift is that children of higher socio—economic status should have higher achievement motivation than those of lower socio—economic status, if the sin-teristrom limitar continues to hold true. Note (14) has recently obtained

	true than him soh ol graduates	Ripp school graduate up to college graduate	College graduate or more	Keans	Religious group mesns
Protestant Father	8,04	6.4"	6.90	7.12	6.64
Mother Jewish	<i>০ ১ চি</i> চ	6.41	5, 55	O a Tr. I	6. BQ
Father Mother	7.65 5.74	7.12 6.66	6 ,48 5,8 9	7.08 3.10	659
Irish Catholic Father Mother	11.50 7.23	792 7.61	8,26 6.40	823 7.08	7.66
Italian Cytholic rather Pother	9.04 3.68	10.43 6.87	6.51 8.00	8.66 8.18	8,42
Educational Level means	1 - 87	7.43	6.75		·
Pathers * mean Sothers * mean	7.77 7.38				

Analysis of Variance

Source	e of variation as	n E regresare <mark>ss</mark>	At .	Heen square	F
1. Ke	Pligion	13.91	3	4.6%	12!1:**
7. E	hightfornal level	2. 10C	Ś	2.30	6,05**
. S.	esc of passent	I_{\bullet} , iI_{\bullet}	1,	4 14.	12,47,75%
h Ir	theraction !	LI JE	Consider the second Resident Action of the Second	anguan arang sapar a sapar na na sapar na	1 324
5. <u>r</u> 3	To To Com.	. wa i i i wa mai di ifaff asha ti wi iisia	Loss	37.	इ. विकासकार राज्यों का स्टालंग । संबंध र . स. अनुकरण स्थाप

Pignific of as of 18 to el Pignific of a total soci

and the primary was the contract the property samularantly, and act which cannot be of the office of the control of the product that the control of the results from this control of the control of

data which strongly support this hypothesis. Achievement mobile ton accres of middle and upper class high school students are dignificantly right than those of lower class students.

The fact that sex of parem, also influences the age at which selfreliance is expected is a finding too new to have been explored the result; but Structure (17) has done some detailed research on the littly in the tion process which shows that the attitude of the mother and father in this matter may differentially influence the motives and values of their coar. For example, he has shown that as one goes up the socie-commic reale, who father sets higher standards of independence and achievement for his sora factor which tends to produce the same values in the scn bed, which may be counteracted by the fact that these same fathers tend to so no expense. ful in the family circle and make their sons in fact more decended on thom. This discrepancy between the father's preaching and practice may introduce a cyclical effect in the Gransmission of m Achievement from father to sen which does not occur when the transmission is from mother to son. Thous findings are not absolutely clear as yot, but they are untroduced here to illlustrate the fact that the transmission of parental value attitudes to chileren and the production of achievement notivation by this process are couplicated matters which ultimately will force a considerable elaboration of simple statements to the effect that independence training leads to higher achievement motivation or that belief in self-reliance automatically leads to serlier undependence training which automatically produces higher achievement motivation.

it should no without raying that the association demonstrates here to not exclusively between Protestaction as a religion and independence train.

viously what is involved to certain voters with clicked to be access, with frotestaction (and perhaps core with the little than which the mechanical etc.) but which might equally well be accessive with other relations or cube tures as well. Note again the way in which the trick set to be partly influenced by a cultural and partly by a religious involved. Or to take another example, dapan alone of the Asiatic countries has shown rapid economic advance to date, a fact which suggests that something in the value structure of the Japanese was sufficiently similar to the value structure of Protestantism to produce similar or analogous characterological results. In other words, the true variable here is neither Protestantism nor Japanese Zen Buddhism, but certain specific identifiable values associated with each of these religions presumably. We have started research into just that these values are and into their distribution among the various religions and cultures of the world, but it is still too early to report any definitive results.

shown a connection between A and B, the logical new step is to demonstrate a connection between B and C, between independence training and n Achievement. Since this is the relationship, established by Winterbottom, which gave rise to the hypothesis, and which is pivotal to its whole structure, it deserves very coreful scruting. In the first place it deserves the replication which it has not had as yet because we have been too busy establishing other links in the chain of hypothetically associated events. As need to be certain that it was not in any way a unique relationship obtained for a group of 30 mothers and their 8- to 10-year old sons in a particular town in Tichigan. For example, was the result in any way dependent on the fact that these nothers had sons of this particular age at the time they were questioned; a suit the second

place, we have not, of course, demonstrated that independence training causes high n Achievement, since the relationship may be the other way around: boys with high n Achievement may force their mothers to let them have more independence earlier. Fortunately, the experimental design for settling this question is simple though its execution is not. The mothers should be given the independence training questionnaires before their sens are been and the some tested when they are 8-10 years old. Then we could toll whether the mothers' values or the sons' motivation was the precipitating rause of the relation—ship.

To ask a different kind of question, what is the relationship between what the mothers say their attitude toward independence training is and what they actually do? Studies by Strodtbeck (17) Andicate that there is by no means a 1-to-1 relationship between what people say about their socialization practices and what they do. Thus, fathers of high socio-acomomic status believe strongly in independence for their sons but apparently cannot help making so many suggestions in the concrete family interaction situation that they do not give their sons the opportunity to show the independence they believe in. To some extent it is an advantage, however, to be dealing with mother attitudes rather than mother behavior, because the attitudes presumably reflect values in a more simple and direct way than action would which is presumably also determined by the mother's motives, the concrete situation, etc. That is, when mothers are asked at what age they expect their child to make his own friends, they obviously cannot be too accorate in their answers sither because they cannot remember, or because the process was a slow and gradual one. So the answer we do get is really like in a swer to a projective question. It tells us whether the mother in general expects her child

the advice of those who know better, presumably, then he does. Askir gion the age at which self-reliance is expected apparently gets at this value attitude more successfully than a direct question, because, at least in our culture, self-reliance is a strongly valued trait which presumably any mother would want in her child. To get at the degree to which she wants it, it is necessary to get at the value indirectly by asking the age at which she expects it.

But what is needed most in the study of this problem are some cross. cultural comparisons. The hypothesis as it stands is completely general. early independence training, whether in Michigan, Moscow, Peiping, or tho Admiralty Islands, in 1760, 1830, or 1950 should lead to higher a Achievaner in male children. We have as yet made no studies of female children although we have some reason to believe that the matter is somewhat more complicated here. But what about those countries like Germany and Japan whose people have apparently shown a high level of n Achievement, and economic development yet whose parents apparently stress loyalty and devotion to the family and method much more than they stress self-reliance and self-development ap we do in the United States? It is to answer just such questions as these and others mentioned earlier that we are now conducting a replication of the basic experiment in Germany. Will German parents stress early independence, and will those who do tend to have some with higher n Achievement? I wish I could answer these questions for you today, but the data are not yet avail able. However, Sangree (15) has already completed a study on a small group of Japanese-American parents and their sons which supposts that we are going to have to modify somewhat our conception of independence training (23). The forms that for this group of mothers are sons there was substantially the

same order of positive correlation between mothers; atti udes toward inderendence training and some in Achievement score as Winterbottom found, although because the sample was small it failed to reach an accepted level of significance. She also found, as predicted, that Japanese boys as a group scored considerably higher in a Achievement than other comparable groups of boys. So far so good. But finally she found that the Jagenese-American mothers favored later independence training as a group than any other group tested including the Italians in the study reported above. A further study of the value attitudes of the mothers showed why this was so. Many of them felt and said that they never expected their some to be independent in the sense implied by the way the questions on our schedule ware phrased. That is, Japanese expect their children to be police, cooperative, and devoted to their family so that phrases on the questionnaire like "to stand up for his own rights' and "to do well on his own" seemed to be in contradiction to these values and the mothers felt that they never wanted their sons to be like that. Does this constitute a contradiction of the basic hypothesis about the relationship between independence training and n Achievement? Is it possible that the Japanese boys get their higher n addingement by some other mechanism? Jerhaps, but it is also plausible to areas that the defeat here is not with the hypothesis but edith the measuring protoment, namely the incorporators training questionusire. Remediat (2) in tive disquisitor of Japanese difidarearing direnses over sad over igain the we in which Ispeniese a rests fee, that children doubt be allowed great receding of self-stevelogments, to have their "egoes strengthaned" -boigge the we see politically successful consequent or commanders eight all abound age to on 10. It is a fact to recreed, the grant to bid we will track to be obtrace the ourstnoors and provide the second of the effective of the end of t

to which the Japanese parents really favor early mastery for their children that is, our questions were designed for a culture which in general favors self-reliance even among adults; the Japanese apparently favor early mastery not as preparation for self-reliance, but as a prelude to a better and more effective performance as a cooperative member of a group. These findings and hypotheses are all necessarily tentative, but they do suggest that work in other cultures will eventually enable us to state this particular hypothesis in a culture-free manner. Incidentally, of course, these variations in the way in which mastery training are conceived should make obvious differences in the way people behave later on, but for the moment we are restricting our attention to a rather marrowly defined set of variables.

The final link in the chain, that between C and D in Table 1, or botween high n Achievement and economic development, is the one on which we have been working most recently. I want to confess here to double we had as to how this would come out. We know that n Achievement as we measured it was significantly correlated with better performance on a wide variety of Jaboratory tasks (B) and Riccipti (12) has shown that it is significantly correlated with high school grades with ability level partialled out. But nume of this would lead us to predict on the banis of our own work that n achievement, would be consected in a peculiar way with more tigorous economic artivity, why mut make the simpler assumption that it would be connected with more vigorous activity in any line of endeavor? Wouldn't it be logical in predict in the basis of our busic performance or school work data the tipo in ichievement should make a jerum ito better at poetry or policies, law or serence, farming to selling coal estable. Why plok or business or asre that neighbour of early arrect product adequate slows on the behalf regularly the extreme of policy care at recording that contributed army the

ward a connection with business activity, at least if we are to take Weber's arguments at all seriously, and continue to entertain the hypothesis sketched in Table 1.

So with some misgivings as to the outcome, we decided to put the hypothesis to the test by seeing whether students with high a Achievament were more interested in business occupations than students with low n Achievemens. The null hypothesis is of nourse that n Achievement makes no difference in inclining a person toward one occupation rather than another. To measure occupational interest we samply used the Strong Vocational Enterest Blank, which was filled out by a proup of college freshmen at the same time that they had been tested for n Achievement. To test the hypothesis we simply took the top 20% of the class in a Achievement and compared their answers to each of the Strong items with the answers given by the bottom 20% of the class in n Achievement. The results were really startling, at least to us, since we had had so many doubts about the whole enterprise from the beginning. You will recall that on the Strong Test the respondent is asked whether he likes, dislikes, or is indifferent to, 100 different occupations on the first part of the test. In Table 4 are listed the only occupations for which consistent and significant differences appeared between the top and bottom fifths of the n Achievement distribution. In every case the group high in); while wement likes the compations listed better than the group low in a /chievement. What more striking confirmation of the hypothesis could be exmedted? The the agreent for "atomkhroker", the most significant single itos, was 10,04, Pc.OL. Shades of Marxist propaganda about the role of "Wall Street" an the capitalion economy:

Cimpert Table 4 hore or near here.)

the and Doubting Proposers oggive on the entertricing of the tilt that the make

Table 4.

Occupations in the first 100 on the Strong Vocational Interest Blank preferred significantly more by college Freshmen with high (top 20%) than with low (bottom 20%) n Achievement scores. (Listed in order of significance of differences.)

- 1. Stock broker
- 2. Office Hamager
- 3. Sales Manager
- 4. Buyer of merchandise
- 5. Real estate salesman
- 5. Factory manager

ther of significant differences. We know that, and we are for that reason replicating the study right at this moment, but what are the chances that lady Lack should hit on these particular occupations when she had so many to pick from, including everything from artist to author to musician to lawyer or electrical repairman? To be more precise, the chances are less than it in 4,000, since roughly one-quarter of the occupations might be classified as a salated to business. Certainly if she did happen to pick on occupations to obviously related to business activity and economic development just by chance, she has played us a dirty trick in getting our scientific hopes aroused. In any case, the evidence does not consist of these items alone. Further attendant species of the rest of the test shows many confirmatory results, although their exact significance will have to await further study and, in particular, a replication.

Of course this preliminary result still leaves much to be desired. We can assume on the basis of Strong's validation data that there is some connection between a person's interest in an occupation and the chances that he will enter and do well at it, but one could wish for a more direct test of the hypothesis that the mean or modal level of machievement in a culture is associated with its level of account development. Taking our clue from an earlier study by McClelland and Friedman (9) on achievement imagery in American Indian Colleges, we are mirrently trying to provide a more direct test of this hypothesis by doing some a analyses of various cultural products like culture rents conders and corrolating the achievement imagery discovered in them with the sewal of economic development of the country.

The word be quite whore, of course, to think that we are thying to con-

achievement motivation, independence training or whatnot. Chylously many of the traditional factors are important, such as the social structure, the type of polifical organization, the nature and extent of natural resources, the general level of literacy, etc. Economic development is certainly a much macro complex problem than we have seemed to think it here, but what we are intervited in showing is that one factor which may loom fairly large in economic des valopment is a certain kind of motivational structure in the individual. As a certain stage in economic development, at least, there opposite to be a new . for a fairly large number of individuals with high a Achieve and . The many ways they would seem to be the ones whom Riesman (13) has called "ingran-Cirected", and his discussion of the role such people play in the divelopment of modern society can be consulted for an expansion of the argument waith I have only had time to outline briefly hore. Unfortunately also, because of time Instantions. I cannot deal adequately with those economic is executively who would argue that character or motivation is the result rither than the cause of economic development, but before they assume too easily that the direction of the relationship sketches in Table 1 might just so well be the other way around. Int me tastem to say that content analyses of decod of tural decaments should show conclusively whather the increase to subject of motivation preceded or tollowed economic development.

So far the discussion has centered around a direct, stratish live of welcomment of the case for the commention between values, socialization placificas, rachievement and economic development. This has been conspacily because it was to test deter a hypomena, about the origins of the spirit of modern capitalism that the whole acquiry started, and partly become it is easily to tollow the argument in one loss not skip links to the chapt of exects in median capitalism capitalism that are about to another. However, the course of exects in median around from one abject to another. However, the energy of each of the sectors of the sectors of the energy of the

number of interesting implications for further study that have arisen in the course of thinking out the hypothesis. Take the question of social change, for example. Margaret Mead has recently returned from re-studying the Marus, a small tribe in New Guinea which she had studied previously nearly 25 years ago. She found that exceedingly rapid social change had taken place since her last visit, for these people although not for others in the vicinity who had had similar experiences in the meantime. Whereas then they had scarcely here touched by modern civilization at all, now they seemed to be living completely in the 20th century even to the extent of having organized a parent-teacher association which was concerned about the best way of rearing children! They knew how to operate modern machinery, had organized a democratic form of government, and in general seemed to be a forward-looking, progressive people, who, in her words, had recapitulated approximately 25,000 years of history in 25 years. What had produced the change? The obvious precipitating factor was the presence of the U.S. Armed Forces in the Pacific with which this tribe had had extended contact. From the Americans they had learned how to operate machines, how to organize a government or a P.T.A., and in general picked up with amazing rapidity the general "know how" that goes with modern civilization. But the interesting point is that the Manus accepted modern technological civilization so rapidly and enthusiastically whereas other tribes like the nearby Usiai, similarly exposed to the West, had not. Why?

Cas clue to an answer may lie in the fact that these were a people who, she had noted in 1930, stressed early independence training for their children. At that time they lived in thatched-covered houses on platforms set up on poles out over the sea. It was therefore easy for young children to fall off into the sea and drown. The Manus had responded to this Toynbeen "chal-

lenge" by requiring that their children learn to swim and take care of themselves in the water quite early. In fact, they built them small cances and literally taught them to "paddle their own canoes" at a very early age. Does it seem too far fetched to assume that we have evidence for a limitage here between stage B in Table 1, e.g., early independence transing, and stage D, rapid technological development once contact with superior knowledge is made? Further study can alone tell, but it is at least significant to note also that the Manus in 1930 were the business men and barkers of their region to whom all the neighboring bribes were in debt! Their presumed higher n A-chievement could in those days express itself only within the limits of the prevailing brokerage system, but once exposed to our system, one might prodict that they would "catch on" with amezing mapidity. Although this is only a case study which may be an isolated example, it certainly suggests that the hypothesis would be worth testing that the speed of adapting to modern technological society may be greatly aided by the right character or notivational structure (e.g., high n Achievement, early self-reliance training, etc.) The opposite proposition should also be tested; societies with a general low level of a Achievement, without the necessary character structure, should have considerable difficulty in developing them: alves economically along Western lines (e.g., Russia, China). They may have no resort to force, for example, to get people to do the things that they would naturally want to do if they had sufficiently high achievement motives. To Mark this wile simply placing the motive of surving the State for the welfare of all above the nacty, selfish profit motive, but them maybe he confused the profit motive with the achievement motive, which is not woo surprising in view is the correction we seem to be finding between the twos. At any rate, it is exciting to contemplate re-thinking the issues raised by Carxist and antiMarxist theorists in terms of motivational theory as it develops out of empirical research like this and comforting to think that at least some of the issues may be solved by an appeal to fact rather than to debating skill.

There is time for consideration of only one further implication of our general scheme outlined in Table 1, an implication which arises from a possible association between A and C, between religious differences and n Achievement scores. To date the relationship must be considered only possible or hypothetical because the definitive study on the problem has not yet beer done. Nevertheless there is considerable indirect evidence that such a relationship will be found to exist on further study. Take the chestion of the Jews, for example. In Table 2 there is evidence that they favor earlier independence training than Italian- or Irish-Catholics, and we have evidence that early independence training is associated with higher achievement motivation. At the other end of the scale we know that Jews are distinctly an over-achieving group both scholastically (3) and occupationally (6, 18, 20). To cite just one illustrative fact, 80-85% of the gainfully enployed Jews in the United States work in upper level occupations in which only 30-40% of the rest of the population are engaged (18). What accounts for such over-achievement? Probably not imnate differences in intelligence, since we have no evidence for racial differences in mative capacity. Probably not race projudice, because we have instances of other similarly handicapped groups which do not counter-strive so vigorously when discriminated against, Probably not religion as such, since there must be many in this group who are not religious in any ordinary sense of the term. Instead it seems both simple and feesible to attribute this over-achievement to a generally higher level of achievement motivation among Jews, which itselt is attributable to certain cultural values which probably have their roots in religion ultimately. Ab

chologists have been understandably reductant to draw attention to cultural differences in achievement, for fear of feeding ammunition to bigots, but when such differences are left covered up and unexplained, the natural tendency of the man in the street is to attribute them to racial or religious factors in a totally inaccurate and uncomprehending way. At least it is my hope that a study of cultural differences in motivational levels will make a contribution to an understanding of why differences exist among racial, religious, or cultural groups and thus ultimately to an understanding of some of the reasons why these groups are prejudiced against one another.

Now let us turn in conclusion to the question raised at the beginning of the paper. How many of the problems just discussed would have bean raised or illuminated by a study of the hunger motive? Even raising the question will, I hope, convince you that in matters like these the kind of motive under consideration is all-important. It is the achievement motive, not just any motive perceived as a contentless "force", that we have tried to religious and coltural background factors, to economic development, to differences in occupational achievement. And I hope you will agree with he that those are mattore of importance. They are behavioral problem which psychology dught to be concerned with just as much as it is concerned with the functional connection between any motive end the association process. and if we can break his much ground, even as tentatively as I have hed to today, what couldn't we do if we knew as much about other motives as he do about the achievement movive ... about the need for Fower, the need for Affiliation, and the like? The study of human motives -- in the sense of accurately measuring them with one attention to their differences in kind and their social consequences - is just beginning. We can look forward to great advances in our understanding of human behavior if such study gets the atten-Hos it deserves.

References

- 1. Atkinson, J.W. Explorations using imaginative thought to assess the strength of human motives. In Marshall Jones (Ed.), Nebrasical Symposium on motivation, 1954, 56-112.
- 2. Benedict, R.F. The chrysanthemum and the sword. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1946.
- 3. Clark, E.L. Motivation of Jewish students. J. soc. Estable, 1949, 29, 113-117.
- 4. Farber, I.E. Comments on Professor Atkinson's paper. In Marshall Jones (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on motivation, 1954, 112-115.
- 5. Harlow, H.F. Motivation as a factor in the acquisition of new responses.
 In Current theory and research in motivation. Lincoln, Nubraska-Nebraska Univer. Press, 1955, 24-49.
- 6. Havemann, E. and West, P.S. They went to college. Rem York: Harcount, Brace, 1952.
- 7. McClelland, D.C. The psychology of mental content reconsidered. Psychol. rev., 1955 (in press).
- 8. McClettland, D.C., Atkinson, J.W., Clark, R.A., and Lowell, E.L. The achdove-ment motive. New York: Appleton-Century-Crefts, 1943.
- 9. McClelland, D.C., and Friedman, G.A. A cross-cultural study of the relation ship between child-training practices and achievement motivation appearing in folk tales. In G.E. Swanson, T.M. Newcomb, and W. Hartley (Eds.), Readings in social psychology. New York: 1911.
- 10. McClettland, D.C., Rindlisbacher, A., and deCharms. R. Rolligious and other sources of parental attitudes toward independence training. In D.C. McClelland (Ed.), Studies in motivation. New York: Actions Century-Crofts, 1955.
- 11. Nissen, H.W. The nature of the drive as impate determinant of behaviour of constitution. In Marchall Jones (Ed.), Hebry sky Symposium on motivation, 1954, 281-321.
- 12. Riccirti, H.N., and Sadacca, R. The production of academic grades with a projective test of achievement motivation: [1]. Gross-validation at the high school level, Princeton, N.J.: Research Bulletin, Educational Testing Service, 1954.
- 13. Riesem, D. with Glazer, N., and Denney, R. The lond; crowd. New ik.van. Yale Univer. Press, 1950.
- 14. Rosen. B. The achievement syndrome: a psychorultural dimension of social stratification. Unpublished paper, Universet Communication.

- .15. Sangree, C. Expectations and interactions in Nisci funilies. Unpublished M.A. Thesis. Univer. of Chicago, 1955.
- 16. Shipley, T.W. and Veroff, J. A projective measure of need for affiliation. J. exp. Psychol., 13, 349-356.
- 17. Strodtbeck, F.L. Family interaction and the transmission of achievementrelated attitudes. Unpublished paper, Universof Chicago.
- 18. Strodtbeck, F.L., and Sultan, F. Ethnic differences an achievement: a contrast of Jews and Italians in the United States. Unpublished paper, Univer. of Chicago.
- 19. Tavney, R.H. Religion and the rise of capitalism. Now York: Harcourt, Brace, 1925.
- 20. Terman, L.W., and Oden, N.H. The gifted child grows up: twenty-five years follow-up of a superior group. Stanford: Stanford Universe Press, 1947.
- 21. Walker, A.M., and Lev. J. Statistical inference. New York: Holt, 1953.
- 22. Weber, M. The Protestant ethic. (translated by Tallboot Parsons). New York: Scribner's, 1930.
- 23. Whiting, J.W.M., and Child, I.L. Child training and personality. New Heaven: Yale Univer. Press, 1953.
- 24. Winterbottom, M.R. The relation of childhood training in independence to achievement notivation. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Univer. of Michigan, 1953. Abstract in Univ. Microfilms, Publication No. 5133.
- 25. Woytinski, W.S., and Woytinski, E.S. World population and production Now York: Twentieth Century Fund, 1753.

Armed Services Technical Information Agency

Reproduced by DOCUMENT SERVICE CENTER KNOTT BUILDING, DAYTON, 2, 0 HIO

Because of our limited supply, you are requested to RETURN THIS COPY WHEN IT HAS SERVED YOUR PIJRPOSE so that it may be made available to other requesters.

Your cooperation will be appreciated.

NOTICE: WHEN GOVERNMENT OR OTHER DRAWING, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER DATA ARE USED FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN IN COMNECTION WITH A DEFINITELY RELATED GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT OPERATION, THE U.S. GOVERNMENT THERESY INCURS NO RESPONSIBILITY, NOR ANY OBLIGATION WHATSOEVER; AND THE FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY HAVE FORMULATED, FULNISHED, OR IN ANY WAY SUPPLIED THE SAID DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, OR OTHER P. TA IS NOT TO BE REGARDED BY IMPLICATION OR OTHERWISE AS IN ANY MANNER LICENSING THE HOLDER OR ANY OTHER PERSON OR CORPORATION, OR CONVEYING ANY RIGHTS OR PERMISSION TO MANUFACTURE, USE OR SELL ANY PATENTED INVENTION THAT MAY IN ANY WAY BE RELATED THERETO.

UNCLASSIFIED